## THE SHAPE OF THE STORY: SOME REMARKS ON HISTORY

- 1. What is the shape of our history?
  - 1.1. the history of our identity,
  - 1.2. the relationship to musicology,
  - 1.3. a periodization,
  - 1.4. and the questions we have been asking in the past and the present.
- 2. where in the academy ethnomusicology belongs?
  - 2.1. "Good heavens, it certainly is colorful,"
  - 2.2. "each of them knows something different, and there doesn't seem to be much that they all know."
  - 2.3. "The development of ethnomusicology is the most significant thing that has happened in musicology since 1950."
  - 2.4. "Should ethnomusicology be abolished?"
  - 2.5. "What Is Ethnomusicology Doing in a Music Department?"
- 3. Ethnomusicology has no future... (German journal Musikforschung)
  - 3.1. what the people who have called themselves ethnomusicologists do shouldn't have been done,
  - 3.2. because of recent culture change, their task is no longer available,
  - 3.3. they have accomplished what they set out to do and they should hang up their hats,
  - 3.4. they should have no standing as a specialized field,
  - 3.5. their existence has been all along a political gesture no longer valid;
  - 3.6. they have enough in common to deserve to have a name, whether
  - 3.7. part of the political and economic oppressors
- 4. whether ethnomusicology has developed into a coherent field, and whether we know what that field is.
- 4.1. little to hold it together and much to tie it to older, more established fields.
- 4.2. Now, ethnomusicology have begun to have an impact on related fields.
- 5. Landmarks and moments of glory
  - 5.1. relationship to other disciplines (musicology, anthropology)
  - 5.2. 1880 1900: first paradigms established.
  - 5.3. Influence of cultural evolutionism
  - 5.4. Later emerged the belief that all musical systems were equally natural
  - 5.5. A. J. Ellis's study "On the Musical Scales of Various Nations" (1885)5.6. field recording (1890).
- 6. Second high point (after World War II)
  - 6.1. "where do we belong"
    - a) Last years of study,
    - b) mostly anthropology.
    - c) degree in music,
    - d) a kind of musicologist, one that had to know something about
      - anthropology
      - folklore
      - linguistics
  - 6.2. ambivalence? ambiguity? Ambidexterity?
  - 6.3. "Cheetah among the Lions," (teaching job - 1950)
    - a) music history (maybe)
    - b) there would never be any jobs!
    - c) only courses on non-western and folk traditions?
  - 6.4. New York Musicological Society
    - a) Began with
      - theorists (Joseph Yasser and Joseph Schillinger)
      - composers (Henry Cowell)
      - librarians (Harold Spivacke and Oliver Strunk)
      - ethnomusicologists (George Herzog and Helen Roberts)
      - traditional historians (a couple)
      - Charles Seeger.
    - b) Turned into historians of Western art music
  - 6.5. Ethnomusicologists responded
    - a) Ethnomusicology Newsletter in 1953
    - b) SEM in 1955 (AAA)
    - c) A school of ethnomusicology
      - music of non-Western,
      - Asian cultures
    - d) UCLA, Wesleyan, Michigan, and Seattle

- art or classical traditions
- teaching non-Western traditions through performance
- e) Alan Merriam X Mantle Hood
  - anthropological or
  - musical ethnomusicologists
- 7. Musicologists have always included the kinds of things that ethnomusicologists do
  - 7.1. Never mind that they don't always adhere to these criteria.
  - 7.2. Now, one can't be a "compleat musicologist" without knowing something about ethnomusicology.
- 8. Anthropologists are glad to have ethnomusicology around, but most feel that they can live without it.
- 9. Where are we now?
  - 9.1. that when members of SEM got together, there was hardly anything that you could reasonably expect all of them to know about.
  - 9.2. Activities changed enormously.
    - a) Preserving the world's music: recordings, LPs, CDs, videos,
    - b) Transcription techniques.
    - c) concerned with history as those other historians.
    - d) how musics and musical cultures affects each other.
  - 9.3. Ethnomusicologists X music historians X systematic musicologists
    - a) Rethinking Music, Cook and Everist (1999)
      - b) Grove's Dictionary of Music in Musicians (enlarged in 1980)
- 10. Influences
  - 10.1. 1980s "new musicology."
    - a) busting the traditional canons of great music;
    - b) gender studies,
    - c) gay and lesbian studies,
    - d) critical theory,
    - e) cultural criticism,
    - f) music can be understood fully only if one takes into account the culture from which it comes
    - g) Where did they get these ideas?
    - h) common ground, common areas of interest.
  - 10.2. 1993 meeting of the AMS (panel Music Anthropologies and Music Histories)
    - a) five scholars,
      - two ethnomusicologists
      - two historians,
      - one theorist
    - b) subjects
      - Renaissance perceptions of ancient Mexico,
      - Western ideas of African rhythm,
      - jazz,
      - 18th-century opera,
      - Indian music scholarship
  - 10.3. the ethnomusicological study of our own backyard.
    - a) investigation the musical culture of academic institutions
    - b) Henry Kingsbury's (1988) study (music conservatory in the Eastern U. S)
    - c) Kay Shelemay (2001) (early music movement in Boston)
- 11. A grand march (four periods)
  - 11.1. Initial examination and discovery, and of generalization.
    - a) non-Western and folk musics are worthy of study,
    - b) comparisons can be made
    - c) separation of field and laboratory work,
    - d) insistence on the collection and preservation of authentic artifacts.
  - 11.2. greater specialization
    - a) leadership of Hornbostel,
    - b) individual and idiosyncratic research
    - c) a career to one or two of the world's societies.
  - 11.3. consolidation of gains and increased interest in generalized theory and methodology.
    - a) origins of music,
    - b) universals,
    - c) comparative study.
    - d) Analytical approaches from linguistics and semiotics
  - 11.4. emphasis on theory, and the insistence on interpretation of data
    - a) the observer's position determines the way data is perceived and interpreted.

- b) insistence on interpretation
- c) enormous changes on the world of music
- 12. three very broad questions (1950s)
  - 12.1. What is it that causes different cultures to have differently sounding music? Or, what determines a cultures principal musical style?
  - 12.2. What do the world's peoples use music for? What does music do for them?
  - 12.3. How do the world's musics transmit themselves, maintaining continuity and also engaging in change?
- 13. Question we were not asking fifty years ago
  - 13.1. The role of ethnomusicologists in relation to the people in their field of study,
    - a) obligations
    - b) protection and use of intellectual property?
    - c) performers' or informants' rights
    - d) cultural insiders and outsiders, can we make such a distinction?
    - e) who speaks for a culture,
    - f) the definition of culture
  - 13.2. The relationship of ethnomusicology to the technologized world.
    - a) study of recording,
    - b) distribution,
    - c) globalization,
    - d) the role of the Internet,
    - e) control and ownership,
    - f) effects of recording and computer technologies
  - 13.3. The role of ethnomusicology in education.
    - a) interpreting historical and recent events,
    - b) relation of performer and audience,
    - c) the history of performance practice,
    - d) reception of music.
    - e) origins of music
    - f) relationship of animal sounds and human music
- 14. Cheetahs of the academic valley surrounded by the lion musicologists